



**AGENDA NO: A-4**

**MEETING DATE: October 9, 2018**

**THE FOLLOWING PUBLIC CORRESPONDENCE  
WAS RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL  
FOLLOWING POSTING OF THE AGENDA**

## Dana Swanson

---

**From:** Jamie Irons  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 09, 2018 11:56 AM  
**To:** betty winholtz  
**Cc:** Dana Swanson; Scot Graham; Scott Collins  
**Subject:** Re: agenda item a-4

I received read your email in addition to including Dana in my response so your comments are included in agenda correspondence.

Scot, any response to Ms Winholtz questions or concerns I would ask to be included.

Thanks. Jamie

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 8, 2018, at 11:59 PM, betty winholtz [REDACTED] wrote:

Dear City Council:

I have three concerns regarding this document.

First, this should not be a consent item, but a public hearing. At the Planning Commission level, this item was heard in the summer, last item on the agenda, postponed, etc. not ideal for public input.

Second, there is not one picture from Morro Bay in these Guidelines. How can this be a guideline for Morro Bay when Morro Bay isn't even in it? These are not guidelines for our town, but other towns. These houses do not look like houses in Morro Bay.

Third, the Glossary definition on Height Limit is not understandable. What does this mean? "an imaginary surface located at the allowed number of feet above and parallel to the existing grade." Is it a way for paving the way for 30' height limits without stating it, or going back to change it? Currently, it is 25' and should state so.

Sincerely,  
Betty Winholtz  
resident