



AGENDA NO: C-1

MEETING DATE: October 23, 2018

**THE FOLLOWING PUBLIC CORRESPONDENCE
WAS RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL
FOLLOWING POSTING OF THE AGENDA**

Dana Swanson

From: Jeffery Heller
Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2018 5:31 PM
To: Council
Cc: Scott Collins; Dana Swanson; Jeffery Heller
Subject: AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE: ITEM C-1 - MBCC MTG 10/23/18

Council

Rushing ahead to make a \$67M+ financial commitment ahead of counting all protest votes at the Public Hearing held on 9/11/18 is an affront to the many residents who are against the additional rate increases, as well as being against the law.

If the council authorizes this contract award, it will continue to expose itself (with residents' funds) to potentially ongoing litigation, as well as further polarizing the community.

Jeff Heller

Dana Swanson

From: Rosalie Valvo [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 19, 2018 1:22 PM
To: Council
Subject: Oct 23 Agenda Item C-1

I see that you're ready to sign a contract for the design and construction of the new water reclamation facility. I'm excited that it's moving ahead, and I look forward to its eventual completion. Yes, it's expensive now, but judging from the experience of Los Osos it'll be many times more expensive the longer we put it off.

Rosalie Valvo
Morro Bay

Dana Swanson

From: Chris Stavros [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 2:50 PM
To: Council
Subject: AGENDA CORRESPONDENCE: ITEM C-1 - MBCC MTG 10/23/18

Dear Council,

I am a 27 year resident of Morro Bay, and a homeowner here for 15 years. I am raising my family and children here. I also have a parent that lives here, and will retire here. I love Morro Bay in a way that only locals can fully appreciate. And, I feel a deep responsibility to ensure that decisions concerning Morro Bay infrastructure are made in consideration of our immediate needs, and those of future generations.

History and regional experience tell us that the WRF plan that has been tirelessly debated - and repeatedly approved by the majority of the community - and is in motion now, is the most responsible path forward for the city, financially, in terms of long-term efficacy and outcome, and in terms of viability as it relates to coastal development permitting. Not to mention the fact that it will eventually allow for the reclamation of a portion of Morro Bay's most valuable ocean-front property for future, more practical and beneficial use than I believe anyone has articulated to date. The facts and debate are clear, and the opposition is asserting an alternative that is not viable for many practical reasons, does not have the same long-term efficacy or value to the community -by any practical measure- and, will end up costing everyone more in the long run based on proven economics.

I implore you to continue forward, steadfastly, with the current WRF plan and to ensure that the currently approved project is executed in a timely and fiscally responsible manner. I wholly support you in the planned execution of the WRF as it is approved, today.

Thank you,
Chris Stavros
Resident
Morro Bay, CA

Dana Swanson

From: Jesse Barron <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 9:58 PM
To: Council
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: 23 OCT 18 Council Meeting

Mayor and Council,

I unfortunately am unable to attend tonight's meeting, however I do support the recommendation to award the contract for the WRF to the Filanc/Black and Veatch Design-Build team.

I do have specific questions regarding the jurisdiction of the build site; many times I have heard that the City recommended ceding jurisdiction to the Coastal Commission, despite the site being within County of San Luis Obispo jurisdiction. I saw no mention of that in this document, and instead saw repeated references to the City Building Officials, and City jurisdiction.

I haven't received a solid answer formally as to why the City favored Coastal Commission jurisdiction, and/or if the California Public Utility Commission might have a jurisdictional claim to all or part of the project.

Will you please request that staff address the answer of jurisdiction?

I do not see that my question should be a cause to not support the recommendation to award the contract; as such I do support awarding the contract.

[REDACTED]

Respectfully,

Jesse Barron

Sent from my iPhone

Dana Swanson

From: betty winholtz [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2018 12:29 AM
To: Dana Swanson
Subject: Fw: c-1

Dana,
I'm surprised that my email to the city council on C-1 is not part of the online correspondence (see below). I assumed if it was copied to the city manager that was sufficient. Should I always copy you as well?
Betty

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: betty winholtz [REDACTED]
To: Jamie Irons <jjirons@morrobayca.gov>; Marlys McPherson <mmcpherson@morrobayca.gov>; John Headding <jheadding@morrobayca.gov>; Matt Makowetski <mmakowetski@morrobayca.gov>; Robert Davis <rdavis@morrobayca.gov>
Cc: Scott Collins <scollins@morrobayca.gov>
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 2:51 AM
Subject: c-1

Dear City Council:

It is welcomed that there is finally a definitive price on at least a portion of all that is involved with the moving of the City's sewer plant. However, it is insincere to declare there is a "guaranteed not to exceed cost of \$67,234,512" when in the very next sentence it states, "should that [exceed] occur, prior authorization by the Council in the form of a contract amendment would be required." In addition, the contract states at least 4 different ways that the WRF Program may exceed costs:

- 6.16.2 Compensable Cost Escalation--should "the construction start date" be delayed more than "12 months after the award date" due to financing.
- 7.6 Costs of Compensable Event--should there be a change in the law or error on the part of "City's agents or contractors."
- 8.2.1 Change Order--common occurrences.
- 9.10.3 Suspension of Work--"as a result of suspension, delay, or interruption by the City."

The City would have more credibility if its wording was more accurate and consistent. Unfortunately, the cost may well be more than that quoted above.

Sincerely,
Betty Winholtz



Virus-free. www.avast.com

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



AGENDA NO: C-2

MEETING DATE: October 23, 2018

**THE FOLLOWING PUBLIC CORRESPONDENCE
WAS RECEIVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL
FOLLOWING POSTING OF THE AGENDA**

Dana Swanson

From: Jesse Barron [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 9:58 PM
To: Council
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: 23 OCT 18 Council Meeting

Mayor and Council,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I also support the recommendation to host the Amgen tour, finish site. This event has been well managed in the past, and only strengthens our reputation as a beautiful destination city for the world to see.

Respectfully,

Jesse Barron

Sent from my iPhone